Serial No. 10/812,024

Amendment Dated: December 23, 2005

Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 27, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 011270.49301D1

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application. Reexamination and

reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Applicants' counsel wishes to thank Examiner Pham for the courtesies

extended during the personal interview on December 15, 2005. The following

reports the substance of the interview.

As discussed, Applicants' invention is directed toward a method for

determining the thickness deviation of a substrate from a predefined standard

thickness. The method includes placing a substrate into an opening of a

substrate holder provided for the substrate, the substrate holder having a flat

upper surface. The method focuses on the surface of the substrate and records

the focus position. The method focuses on the flat upper surface of the substrate

holder and records the focus position. The thickness deviation of the substrate is

ascertained from the difference between the two focus positions.

As pointed out during the interview, the invention determines the

thickness deviation from the difference between the focus positions. Accordingly,

Applicants submit the specification is in full compliance with the provisions of 35

USC §112.

Page 2 of 4

Regarding the prior art rejections, claims 1 and 2 were rejected as being

anticipated under 35 USC §102(e) based on Ganser et. al. (US 6,545,765).

Further, it appears the Examiner meant to indicate that claims 3-7 were rejected

as obvious over Ganser et. al. in view of Samuels. In any event, Ganser has a

provisional application filing date of November 8, 1999. By contrast, Applicants'

claimed priority date is October 11, 1999. Thus, Applicants submit herewith a

verified English translation of the German Priority Application DE 19948797.9

filed in Germany on October 11, 1999. Hence, Applicants have perfected their

priority claim and the Ganser et. al. reference is no longer applicable. As a

result, Applicants submit claims 1-7 are in condition for allowance. An early

notice to that effect is solicited.

Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 30, 2004, as

discussed during the interview, Applicants submit herewith new 1449 Forms

listing all of the references from the parent application.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit the application is now in

condition for allowance. An early notice to that effect is solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Page 3 of 4

Serial No. 10/812,024 Amendment Dated: December 23, 2005 Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 27, 2005 Attorney Docket No. 011270.49301D1

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #011270.49301D1).

Respectfully submitted,

December 23, 2005

Jeffrey\D\Sanok

Registration No. 32,169

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:lrd
dn# 2686097v1